

Attorney Discipline Board

GRIEVANCE ADMINISTRATOR,
Attorney Grievance Commission,

Petitioner/Appellee,

v

Case No. 25-65-GA

RICHARD G. KESSLER, P34775,

Respondent/Appellant

ORDER DENYING RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Issued by the Attorney Discipline Board
333 W. Fort St., Ste. 1700, Detroit, MI

On March 31, 2026, Respondent filed a motion for reconsideration seeking reconsideration of the Attorney Discipline Board's March 11, 2026 Order Granting Petition for Interlocutory Review and Affirming Hearing Panel Order Denying Respondent's Motion for Partial Summary Disposition.¹ The Grievance Administrator filed a response requesting that respondent's motion be denied.

The Board has considered respondent's motion together with the response filed by the Grievance Administrator, and is otherwise fully advised;

NOW THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that respondent's motion for reconsideration is **DENIED** for the reason that respondent's motion merely presents the same issues already ruled on by the Board. Respondent has failed to demonstrate a palpable error by which the Board has been misled, or to otherwise demonstrate that the March 11, 2026 order was entered erroneously, such that correction of the alleged errors would result in a different disposition.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter is **REMANDED** to Kent County Hearing Panel #2 to conduct proceedings pursuant to MCR 9.115(G).

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

By: /s/ Alan Gershel, Chairperson

Dated: June 11, 2026

Board members Alan Gershel, Peter Smit, Rev. Dr. Louis J. Prues, Linda M. Orlans, Jason M. Turkish, Katie Stanley, Tish Vincent, Andreas Sidiropoulos MD, and Kamilia Landrum concur in this decision.

¹ On April 9, 2026, an amended order was issued to correct a scrivener's error in the Board's March 11, 2026 order. On April 22, 2026, respondent filed a second motion for reconsideration of the amended order that is identical to the motion filed on April 9, 2026.