

MEMBERS

ALAN GERSHEL

CHAIRPERSON

PETER A. SMIT

VICE-CHAIRPERSON

REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES

SECRETARY

LINDA M. ORLANS

JASON M. TURKISH

ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD


KATIE STANLEY

TISH VINCENT

KAMILIA K. LANDRUM

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

WENDY A. NEELEY
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN K. BURGESS
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR

OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER

JODIE GROH
CASE MANAGER

JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY

www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF DISBARMENT AND RESTITUTION

Case No. 22-77-GA

Notice Issued: July 8, 2026

Brian T. Dailey, P 39945, Grosse Pointe Farms, Michigan

Disbarment - Effective June 20, 2024

The Grievance Administrator filed a Twelve-Count Formal Complaint against Respondent. Based on the evidence presented to the hearing panel at hearings held in this matter in accordance with MCR 9.115, the hearing panel found that respondent committed professional misconduct during his representation of various clients in numerous cases, and by failing to make payments of earned wages owed to his former bookkeeper and his assistant, failing to pay other attorneys for referral fees and their portion of earned attorney fees, and failing to pay court-ordered costs to other attorneys.

Specifically, the panel found that respondent: neglected a legal matter, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c) [Count Six]; failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client, in violation of MRPC 1.3 [Counts Six, Eight, Ten]; failed to promptly comply with a client's reasonable request for information, in violation of MRPC 1.4(a), and failed to explain a matter to a client to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions about the representation, in violation of MRPC 1.4(b) [Counts Eight, Ten]; charged or collected, or attempted to charge or collect, clearly illegal or excessive fees, in violation of MRPC 1.5(a) and MCR 5.313(B) [Count Six]; failed to promptly pay or deliver funds that a third person is entitled to receive, and failed to promptly render a full accounting regarding such funds, in violation of MRPC 1.15(b)(3) [Counts One, Two, Three, Five through Eleven]; failed to hold disputed property separate from the lawyer's property until the dispute is resolved, in violation of MRPC 1.15(c) and failed to hold property of clients or third persons in connection with a representation separate from the lawyer's own property, in violation of MRPC 1.15(d) [Count Two]; asserted a frivolous position in a proceeding, in violation of MRPC 3.1 [Count Five], knowingly disobeyed an obligation under the rules of a tribunal, in violation of MRPC 3.4(c) [Counts One, Four, Five, Six, Eight, Nine, Eleven]; violated or attempted to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, in violation of MRPC 8.4(a) [Count Five]; engaged in conduct involving dishonesty and deceit, where such conduct reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer, in violation of MRPC 8.4(b) [Counts One through Nine, Eleven]; engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) [Counts Two, Four, Five, Eight, Nine, Eleven]; engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the proper administration of justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(1)

[Counts One, Two, Four, Five, Six, Eight through Eleven]; engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2) [Counts One, Two, Four, Five, Six, Eight, Nine, Eleven]; engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3) [Counts One, Three through Eleven]; and, failed to answer a request for investigation or complaint in conformity with MCR 9.113 and 9.115(D), in violation of MCR 9.104(7) [Count Twelve]. The hearing panel also determined the Grievance Administrator failed to establish respondent violated the following rules: MRPC 1.3 and MRPC 1.5(a) [Count Seven]; MRPC 8.1(a)(2) [Count Twelve]; MRPC 8.4(b) [Counts Ten, Twelve]; MRPC 8.4(c) [Counts Three, Seven, Twelve]; MCR 9.104(1) [Counts Three, Seven, Twelve]; MCR 9.104(2) [Counts Three, Seven, Ten, Twelve]; MCR 9.104(3) [Count Twelve]; and MCR 9.104(5) [Count Five].

The panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for a period of five years and that he pay restitution in the total amount of \$24,478.85, plus interest. Respondent timely filed a petition for review and motion for stay. The Attorney Discipline Board initially granted an interim stay on May 28, 2024, but later denied the motion on June 13, 2024, dissolving the stay and making the hearing panel's suspension and restitution order effective June 20, 2024. Upon review, on March 24, 2025, the Board affirmed in part and vacated in part the hearing panel's findings of misconduct, increased discipline from a five-year suspension to disbarment, and affirmed restitution. Respondent filed a motion for reconsideration which was denied by the Board on June 11, 2025.

On July 14, 2025, respondent filed an application for leave to appeal with the Michigan Supreme Court and a revised application for leave to appeal on July 24, 2025, which was denied on February 27, 2026. Respondent then filed a motion for reconsideration, which was denied by the Court on May 22, 2026. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$14,198.15.